UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,696	08/01/2006	Kazuya Katoh	24-028-TN	1984
23400 POSZ LAW GF	7590 03/11/200 ROUP, PLC	EXAMINER		
12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
	SUITE 101 RESTON, VA 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/553,696	KATOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Martin J. Angebranndt	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/18	R/05 & 8/1/06					
,	·					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
, 	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/553,696 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims should specify the temperature at which the modulus is measured. The prepub of the instant specification teaches 25 degrees C at [0121 and 0126] and the examiner suggests adding this language to both modulus values.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Dobashi et al. JP 2002-241711.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,696 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

Dobashi et al. JP 2002-241711 teaches in example 2, the mixture of acrylic resins 1 and 2 in amounts of 80:20 but otherwise like example 1, which includes an irgacure photoinitiator. [0035,0024-0029]. Resin A begins with 70 parts n-butyl acrylic acid, 20 parts ethyl acrylate and 10 parts hydroxyethyl acrylic acid and heated with azo-isobutyrodinitrile and 2-hydroxyyethyl methacrylic acid and dibutyltin dilaurate resulting in an unsaturated bond in the side chain ands MW of 310, 000 [0024-0027]. Resin B begins with 80 parts methylmethacrylate, 20 part acrylic acid, 5 parts azo-isobutyrodinitrile which is heated and 2-hydroxyyethyl methacrylic acid and dibutyltin dilaurate resulting in an unsaturated bond in the side chain and a MW of 18,000 [0028-0029]. Example 1 has a precure storage modulus at 20 degrees C of 1.2 x 10⁶ Pa and at 80 Degrees C of 1.5 x 10⁴ Pa and after cured has a modulus at 80 degrees C of 1.0 x 10⁵. Example 2 has a precure storage modulus at 20 degrees C of 5.9 x 10⁵.

The position of the examiner is that the storage modulus after curing is within the recited range for some temperature and that would be in the 20-25 degrees C range based upon the relationship of the storage modulus taught. The examiner holds that 2-hydroxyyethyl methacrylic acid is a multifunctional monomer (one acrylic moiety and one hydroxyl moiety). The claims do not require that the multifunctional monomer/oligomer have multiple acrylate moieties but include other reactive sited prepub at [0052-0053].

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Yamasaki et al. '174

See the data in table 1.

Art Unit: 1795

7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Kubota et al. 513.

The resin composition of examples 1 used as stamper reciever layer has a precure elastic modulus of 1.4×10^4 Pa and after curing has a values of 6.0×10^8 Pa. Examples 2 has a precure elastic modulus of 1.61×10^4 Pa and after curing has a values of 3.2×10^8 Pa. [0098-0099].

The substrate to which it is applied is considered a protective layer for claim 8 and for claims 8 and 9 the protective layer is adhered to the optical disk substrate via the stamping layer and therefore it is an adhesive layer within the scope of the cured product, noting the claims do not preclude other layers being between the protective layer and the adhesive layer.

8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Noguchi et al. '578.

See examples including resins A or B and oligomers E1 or E2

The use in optical recording media is an intended use. The examiner holds that for at least some temperature(s), the storage modulus is met. The materials inherently have the side chains and oligomers E1 and E2 are multifunctional with E2 being a urethane acrylate like the Seika-beam 14-29B.

9. Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Takahasi et al. '330.

See examples 1-3 in table 1 and the compositions used.

The use in optical rocciridng is an intended use. The examiner holds that for at least some temperature(s), the storage modulus is met. The materials inherently have the side chains.

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Art Unit: 1795

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 11. Claims 1-9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 7005174. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 7 recited the range prior to curing and a lower limit for the range after curing which embraces that of the instant claims. See also claim 9-12.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J. Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Martin J Angebranndt/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

3/5/09